

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/542,304	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HELLER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Peter A. Hruskoci	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Morris Liss.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 15 October 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**


- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*Prior Art Rejection as stated in the prior Office action dated 9/6/07*
**Claims discussed:**
*All*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*Prior Art of Record*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*It was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy, in view of page 3 lines 16-18, and page 5 lines 20-25 of the instant specification.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)